MINUTES OF THE ORDINARY MEETING OF INVERELL SHIRE COUNCIL HELD IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBERS, ADMINISTRATIVE CENTRE, 144 OTHO STREET, INVERELL ON WEDNESDAY, 26 NOVEMBER, 2014, COMMENCING AT 3 PM.

# SECTION C COMMITTEE REPORTS

2. <u>CIVIL & ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES – 12</u> NOVEMBER 2014 S4.11.16/06

### 122/14 RESOLVED (Michael/Girle) that:

- i) the Minutes of the Civil & Environmental Services Committee Meeting held on Wednesday, 12 November, 2014, be received and noted; and
- ii) the following recommendations of the Civil & Environmental Services Committee be adopted by Council:
- 3. PLANNING PROPOSAL AMENDMENT NO. 2 TO INVERELL LOCAL ENVIRONMENTAL PLAN 2012 \$18.6.34/05

### That:

- i) Council support the submission of a Planning Proposal to facilitate detached dual occupancies in rural and rural residential areas to the NSW Department of Planning and Infrastructure's Gateway Panel for determination;
- ii) upon a positive Gateway determination being received from the NSW Department of Planning and Infrastructure, the Director Civil and Environmental Services be authorised to undertake the procedural steps associated with the progress of the planning proposal, including public exhibition; and
- iii) a further report be submitted in relation to this matter following public exhibition.

MINUTES OF THE CIVIL & ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES COMMITTEE MEETING HELD IN THE COMMITTEE ROOM, 144 OTHO STREET, INVERELL ON WEDNESDAY, 12 NOVEMBER, 2014, COMMENCING AT 8.30 AM.

# SECTION D DESTINATION REPORTS

4. PLANNING PROPOSAL - AMENDMENT NO. 2 TO INVERELL LOCAL ENVIRONMENTAL PLAN 2012 S18.6.34/05

RESOLVED (Johnston/Jones) that the Committee recommend to Council that:

- i) Council support the submission of a Planning Proposal to facilitate detached dual occupancies in rural and rural residential areas to the NSW Department of Planning and Infrastructure's Gateway Panel for determination;
- ii) upon a positive Gateway determination being received from the NSW Department of Planning and Infrastructure, the Director Civil and Environmental Services be authorised to undertake the procedural steps associated with the progress of the planning proposal, including public exhibition; and
- iii) a further report be submitted in relation to this matter following public exhibition.

ITEM NO:	4.	<b>FILE NO</b> : S18.6.31/05			
DESTINATION 3:	An environment that is protected and sustained				
SUBJECT:	PLANNING PROPOSAL - AMENDMENT NO. 2 TO INVERELL LOCAL ENVIRONMENTAL PLAN 2012				
PREPARED BY:	Chris Faley, Development Planner				

### **SUMMARY:**

This report has been initiated as a result of a number of recent enquiries to Council's Development Services Division for detached dual occupancies in rural and rural residential areas. The enquiries have identified a demand for an amendment to the *Inverell Local Environmental Plan 2012* to allow this type of development.

The Committee is being asked to recommend to Council that a "Planning Proposal" be submitted to the NSW Department of Planning and Environment's Local Environmental Plan Gateway Panel for determination. The "Planning Proposal" will amend the *Inverell Local Environmental Plan 2012* to facilitate detached dual occupancies in rural and rural residential areas.

### **COMMENTARY:**

The Inverell Local Environmental Plan 2012 (ILEP 2012) commenced on 7 December, 2012. The ILEP 2012 provides the local environmental planning provisions for the Inverell Shire.

Since the commencement of the ILEP 2012, the requirements set in relation to the construction of second dwellings on land zoned RU1 Primary Production or R5 Large Lot Residential under the ILEP 2012, have restricted and deterred development. Under the current situation the construction of a second dwelling can only be undertaken as a 'dual occupancy (attached)', 'secondary dwelling' or 'rural workers dwelling'. The common issues for each type of development are summarised below:

Second Dwelling Type	Description	Issues
Dual Occupancy (Attached)	The second dwelling is physically connected to the first dwelling, usually via a carport, veranda, etc.	People often wish a degree of separation between dwellings when undertaking a dual occupancy to allow privacy for the different intergenerational occupiers. This is particularly the case where a dual occupancy is occupied by older members of a farming family who want to remain "on the land" but not live in a household attached to that of other family members.
Secondary Dwelling	May be attached or detached, but the floor area is limited in size to 60 square metres or 30% of area of the main dwelling.	Secondary dwellings are traditionally intended as 'granny flats' on smaller urban residential land.

		The enquiries received by Council often exceed the maximum floor area permitted, as rural and rural residential areas allow scope for larger second dwellings.  Secondary dwellings are permissible in the R5 zone but prohibited in the RU1 zone.
Rural Workers Dwelling	An attached or detached dwelling associated with an agricultural activity that has the demonstrated economic capacity to require a rural worker.	It can be difficult to justify the economic capacity for a rural workers dwelling.  It is considered that only an extremely large holding or an intensive agricultural operation (e.g. a cattle feedlot) would be able to justify a Rural Workers Dwelling.

Council's Development Services Division have received a number of recent enquiries in relation to (the above) second dwelling types; however, only a few enquiries have proceeded to an application as the issues identified above have been a barrier to development. Accordingly, the Inverell Shire is currently missing opportunities for investment and population growth in this area.

It must be noted that detached dual occupancy development was permissible in rural areas under the former *Inverell Local Environmental Plan 1988*. However, during the preparation of the ILEP 2012 the NSW Department of Planning and Environment were insistent that detached dual occupancy development in rural and rural residential zones were not consistent with the "Standard Instrument". At the time Inverell Shire Council, like other council's in the region had no choice but to accept the Department's position in order to proceed with the "Standard Instrument" Local Environmental Plan.

In discussions with the Regional Office of the NSW Department of Planning and Environment other Council's in the region, including Moree and Narrabri, have also experienced this demand. Both Moree and Narrabri Council's have initiated amendments to their Local Environmental Plans to facilitate detached dual occupancies rural and rural residential areas.

Therefore, to meet the demand for the construction of second dwellings on rural and rural residential land, it is proposed to undertake an amendment to the ILEP 2012 to make 'dual occupancy (detached)' permissible with consent in the RU1 Primary Production and R5 Large Lot Residential zones. 'Dual occupancy (detached)' means two detached dwellings on one lot of land.

In the past there have been a number of traditional concerns associated with dual occupancy (detached) in rural areas in Local Government Areas throughout the state. These concerns include fragmentation of rural land; impact on the viability of agriculture; the provision of rural infrastructure and the demand to subdivide a detached dual occupancy in the future. The pressure to subdivide a detached dual occupancy in the future due to changing circumstances or the inability to sell a property with two substantial dwellings is considered to be the primary concern.

In respect of future subdivision pressure, the following comments are made:

- The ability to construct a 'dual occupancy (detached)' does not provide an 'as of right' entitlement to subdivide;
- Often the demand for subdivision will come from an emotional origin, e.g. family dispute, inheritance, etc. These are not matters which can be considered during a development assessment;
- The subdivision of a 'dual occupancy (detached)' can only be supported by Council
  where compliance with the ILEP 2012 minimum lot sizes can be clearly
  demonstrated. Unless both houses can be located on lots that achieve the required
  minimum lot size, Council cannot legally support the proposal: and
- Detached dual occupancy was permissible in rural areas under the former Inverell Local Environmental Plan 1988 with very few requests for subsequent inappropriate subdivision arising.

On balance, in considering the demand and the missed opportunities for investment and growth against possible future issues, which can and are controlled through the provisions of the ILEP 2012; there are no significant issues which would prevent Council from permitting 'dual occupancy (detached)' in rural and rural residential areas. It is therefore recommended that the ILEP 2012 be amended to facilitate detached dual occupancies in rural and rural residential areas.

To amend the ILEP 2012, Council is required to prepare a report that explains the intended effect of the proposed amendment and sets out the justification for making the amendment. This report is called a "Planning Proposal" and is submitted to the NSW Department of Planning and Environment for consideration.

A copy of the Draft Planning Proposal is attached as Appendix 3 (D15 – D28) to this report. The Draft Planning Proposal has been prepared considering, and is consistent with, the Department of Planning and Environment's *A guide to preparing planning proposals*. The Department of Planning and Environment has informally advised Council's Manager Development Services that Council is able to proceed with the Planning Proposal.

It is also proposed to seek delegation for Council to undertake the functions of the Minister of Planning. This will grant Council the powers for preparing, exhibiting and gazetting the amendment to the ILEP 2012. This is known as the "gateway process" and would expedite the amendment.

To facilitate the next step in the process, the Committee is asked to recommend to Council to support the submission of the Planning Proposal to facilitate detached dual occupancies in rural and rural residential areas to the NSW Department of Planning and Environment's Gateway Panel for determination. If supported by the NSW Department of Planning and Environment, the proposal will be subject to a public exhibition period prior to further consideration by Committee and Council.

### RELATIONSHIP TO STRATEGIC PLAN, DELIVERY PLAN AND OPERATIONAL PLAN:

**Strategy:** E.03 Protect, rehabilitate and manage all impacts on the built and natural environment.

**Term Achievement:** E.03.03 The Shire's built and natural heritage is being promoted, protected and conserved.

**Operational Objective:** E.03.03.01 To facilitate the protection and rehabilitation of significant examples of the built environment.

**POLICY IMPLICATIONS:** The proposed amendment to the *Inverell Local Environmental Plan 2012* will assist Council in the administering of its provision and the orderly development of land within the Inverell Shire.

### CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICERS COMMENT:

Nil.

**LEGAL IMPLICATIONS**: The amendment to the *Inverell Local Environmental Plan 2012* will be undertaken in accordance with the provisions of the *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979*.

### RECOMMENDATION:

That the Committee recommend to Council that:

- iv) Council support the submission of a Planning Proposal to facilitate detached dual occupancies in rural and rural residential areas to the NSW Department of Planning and Infrastructure's Gateway Panel for determination;
- upon a positive Gateway determination being received from the NSW Department of Planning and Infrastructure, the Director Civil and Environmental Services be authorised to undertake the procedural steps associated with the progress of the planning proposal, including public exhibition; and
- vi) a further report be submitted in relation to this matter following public exhibition.

PLANNING
PROPOSAL –
AMENDMENT
NO 2 –
INVERELL LEP
2012



### Planning Proposal - Amendment No. 2 - Inverell LEP 2012

This is a planning Proposal prepared under Section 55 of the *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979*, in relation to a proposed amendment to *Inverell Local Environmental Plan 2012*. It will be assessed by Inverell Shire Council, the NSW Department of Planning and Infrastructure, and (depending on the Gateway Panel's determination) used for public participation on the proposed LEP amendment.

The *Inverell Local Environmental Plan 2012* has been in operation since 7 December 2012. As a result of experience with the plan it has become evident that the plan would benefit from amendments relating to 'dual occupancy (detached)'.

#### PART 1 - OBJECTIVES OR INTENDED OUTCOMES

The intended outcome is to make 'dual occupancy (detached)' permissible with consent within the RU1 Primary Production and R5 Large Lot Residential zones under the Inverell Local Environmental Plan 2012.

### PART 2 - EXPLANATIONS OF PROVISIONS

People in the RU1 and R5 zone wish a degree of separation between dwellings when undertaking a dual occupancy to allow privacy for the different intergenerational occupiers. This is particularly the case where a dual occupancy is occupied by older members of a farming family who want to remain "on the land" but not live in a household attached to that of other family members.

The Inverell Local Environmental Plan 2012 does permit with consent rural workers dwellings in the RU1 zone and secondary dwellings in the R5 zone; however, the requirements for these types of development are very restrictive and difficult to justify. Despite a number of enquiries, no development applications have been received for these types of development.

Traditional reasons against supporting 'dual occupancy (detached)' within the RU1 and R5 zones relate to the potential for subdivision facilitating the dual occupancy on a separate allotment and the impacts associated with additional access roads/tracks. In the RU1 zone, the loss of agricultural land has also been a reason against supporting 'dual occupancy (detached)'.

The *Inverell Local Environmental Plan 2012* contains existing provisions relating to the subdivision of dual occupancy developments, such as the minimum lot size requirements. Additionally, the definition of 'dual occupancy (detached)' requires both dwellings to be located on one lot of land, further preventing the fragmentation of agricultural land. It is considered that any other relevant planning controls (e.g. shared access, separation distance) relating to dual occupancy (detached) could be appropriately contained within a local Development Control Plan if deemed necessary.

Therefore, it is considered that the objectives of the planning Proposal would be achieved by:

- Removing 'dual occupancies (attached)' from the Land Use Table for the RU1 Primary Production and R5 Large Lot Residential zones as a use that is permitted with consent; and
- Including 'dual occupancies' in the Land Use Table for the RU1 Primary Production and R5 Large Lot Residential zones as a use that is permitted with consent.

### **PART 3 - JUSTIFICATION**

### Section A - Need for the planning Proposal

Is the Planning Proposal a result of any strategic study or report?

This Planning Proposal is not the result of a specific study or report. The issue that this Planning Proposal addresses has been identified through operational experience with the *Inverell Local Environmental Plan 2012*.

Is the Planning Proposal the best means of achieving the objectives or intended outcomes, or is there a better way?

A Planning Proposal is required for statutory reasons.

### Section B. Relationship to Strategic Planning Framework

Is the Planning Proposal consistent with the objectives and actions of the applicable regional or subregional strategy (including the Sydney Metropolitan Strategy and exhibited draft strategies)?

The Planning Proposal is consistent with the New England North West Strategic Regional Land Use Plan and the New England North West Regional Action Plan.

Is the Planning Proposal consistent with a council's local strategy or other local strategic plan?

The Planning Proposal is consistent with Council's Strategic Plan 2009-2029.

Is the Planning Proposal consistent with applicable State Environmental Planning Policies?

The Planning Proposal is consistent with applicable State Environmental Planning Policies as per the table below.

SEPP	Relevant	Consistent	Comment
No. 15 Rural Landsharing Communities	No	N/A	The Proposal would not affect items addressed by the SEPP
No. 21 Caravan Parks	No	N/A	The Proposal would not affect items addressed by the SEPP
No. 30 Intensive Agriculture	Yes	Yes	An additional choice in housing type would contribute to the successful operation of intensive agriculture and rural industries.
No. 32 Urban Land Consolidation (Redevelopment of Urban Land)	No	N/A	The Proposal would not lead to the redevelopment of urban land as described in the SEPP.
No. 33 Hazardous and Offensive Development	No	N/A	No areas involving hazardous or offensive development would be affected.
No. 36 Manufactured Home Estates	No	N/A	The Proposal would not affect items addressed by the SEPP
No. 44 Koala Habitat Protection	No	N/A	No re-zonings are proposed that would affect koala habitat. The provisions of the SEPP would continue to apply to any land the subject of an application following the proposed changes.

No. 50 Canal Estate Development	No	N/A	Proposal would not affect canal estates.
No. 55 Remediation of Land	No	N/A	The provisions of the SEPP would continue to apply to any land the subject of an application following the proposed changes.
No. 62 Sustainable Aquaculture	No	N/A	Proposal does not affect any relevant land.
No. 64 Advertising and Signage	No	N/A	Proposal would not affect items addressed by the SEPP
No. 65 Design Quality of Residential Flat Development	No	N/A	Proposal would not affect items addressed by the SEPP.
Housing for Seniors or People with a Disability 2004	No	N/A	Proposal would not affect items addressed by the SEPP.
Building Sustainability Index: BASIX 2004	No	N/A	Proposal would not affect items addressed by the SEPP
Major Development 2005	No	N/A	Proposal would not affect items addressed by the SEPP.
Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries 2007	No	N/A	Proposal would not affect items addressed by the SEPP.
Temporary Structures 2007	No	N/A	Proposal would not affect items addressed by the SEPP.
Infrastructure 2007	Yes	Yes	Proposal would not affect items addressed by the SEPP.
Rural Lands 2008	Yes	Yes	Proposal for detached dual occupancies in the RU1 Zones would be consistent with the matters for consideration at Clause 10 of the SEPP. The Proposal would not lead to additional subdivision of rural lands.
Exempt and Complying Development Codes 2008	No	N/A	Proposal would not affect items addressed by the SEPP.
Affordable Rental Housing 2009	No	N/A	Proposal would not affect items addressed by the SEPP.
SEPP (State and Regional Development) 2011	Yes	Yes	Proposal would not affect items addressed by the SEPP.
Draft State Environmental Planning Policy (Competition)	No	N/A	Proposal would not affect items addressed by the SEPP. This SEPP is now beyond the 3 year timeframe requiring consideration.

### Is the Planning Proposal consistent with applicable Ministerial Directions (s. 117 directions)?

The Planning Proposal is consistent with applicable Ministerial Directions as per the table below.

### 1. Employment and Resources

Business and Industrial Zones Rural Zones	Yes	Yes	This Direction applies to any Proposal that affects land within an existing or proposed rural zone. Where this direction applies the planning authority must not:  (4)(a) rezone land from rural zone to a residential, business, industrial, village or tourist zone,  (4)(b) contain provisions that will increase the permissible density of land within a rural zone.  Attached dual occupancies are currently permitted with consent in the RU1. Permitting detached dual occupancies in these zones with consent will not increase
Rural Zones	Yes	Yes	affects land within an existing or proposed rural zone. Where this direction applies the planning authority must not:  (4)(a) rezone land from rural zone to a residential, business, industrial, village or tourist zone,  (4)(b) contain provisions that will increase the permissible density of land within a rural zone.  Attached dual occupancies are currently permitted with consent in the RU1. Permitting detached dual occupancies in
			permitted with consent in the RU1. Permitting detached dual occupancies in
			the permissible density within the zone but will increase the range of housing options permitted within the zone.
	1	V	Accordingly it is considered that the proposed additions to the development permitted within the RU1 zone are of minor significance and should be supported.
Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries	No	N/A	No changes to these industries are proposed.
Dyster Aquaculture	No	N/A	Does not apply to any of the land within the Proposal.
1.5 Rural Lands	Rural Lands Yes Yes	Yes	This Direction applies to any Proposal that affects land within an existing or proposed rural zone. Where this direction applies the planning authority must consider whether the Proposal is consistent with the Rural Planning Principles identified by the State Environmental Planning Policy (Rural Lands) 2008. The Rural Planning Principles are as follows:
			(a) the promotion and protection of opportunities for current and potential productive and sustainable economic activities in rural areas,

The Proposal will not reduce the current opportunities for productive and sustainable economic activities to be undertaken in rural (b) recognition of the importance of rural lands and agriculture and the changing nature of agriculture and of trends, demands and issues in agriculture in the area, region or State, The Proposal will not reduce the planning recognition and protection afforded agricultural lands. (c) recognition of the significance of rural land uses to the State and rural communities, including the social and economic benefits of rural land use and development, The Proposal will increase the variety of rural development that may be undertaken in RU1 zone. (d) in planning for rural lands, to balance the social, economic and environmental interests the community, The Proposal will not adversely affect the social, economic or environmental outcomes for the rural communities. The Proposal will increase the variety of development that can be undertaken with consent on rural lands. (e) the identification and protection of natural resources, having regard to maintaining biodiversity, the protection of native vegetation, the importance of water resources and avoiding constrained land, The Proposal will have no effect on the identification and protection of biodiversity, resources or vegetation. (f) the provision of opportunities for rural lifestyle, settlement and housing that contribute to the social and economic welfare of rural communities, The Proposal will not adversely affect the opportunity for rural housing. The Proposal will allow detached dual occupancies to be permitted on rural land with development consent

(g) the consideration of impacts on services and infrastructure and appropriate location when providing for rural housing,
The Proposal will have no impact on the provision of services or infrastructure.
(h) ensuring consistency with any applicable regional strategy of the Department of Planning or any applicable local strategy endorsed by the Director-General.
The Proposal does not relate to any regional or local planning strategy.
<u>Summary Comment:</u> The Proposal is considered to be consistent with each of the Rural Planning Principles identified above and as such can be supported by the Planning Authority.

### 2. Environment and Heritage

No.	Direction	Relevant	Consistent	Reason
2.1	Environment Protection Zones	No	N/A	Proposal would not alter provisions relating to protection and conservation of environmentally sensitive areas.
2.2	Coastal Protection	No	N/A	Land is not within the Coastal Zone.
2.3	Heritage Conservation	No	N/A	The Proposal would not alter existing provisions related to the conservation of heritage items.
2.4	Recreation Vehicle Areas	No	N/A	The Proposal would not affect existing restrictions on development of land for recreational vehicles.

### 3. Housing, Infrastructure and Urban Development

No.	Direction	Relevant	Consistent	Reason
3.1	Residential Zones	Yes	Yes	This Direction applies to any Proposal that affects land within existing or proposed residential zones. This Proposal will provide an additional housing choice within the R5 Large Lot Residential zone.  Clause 6.6 of the Inverell Local Environmental Plan 2012 will ensure that 'dual occupancy (detached)' is adequately serviced.
3.2	Caravan Parks and Manufactured Home Estates	No	N/A	Provisions relating to an existing Caravan Park and its permissibility would not be changed.

3.3	Home Occupations	No	N/A	Provisions relating to home occupations would not be affected
3.4	Integrating Land Use and Transport	No	N/A	Provisions relating to integrating land use and transport would not be affected.
3,5	Development Near Licensed Aerodromes	No	N/A	Provisions relating to Development Near Licensed Aerodromes would not be affected.
3.6	Shooting Ranges	No	N/A	No re-zoning of land close to a shooting range is proposed.

### 4. Hazard and Risk

No.	Direction	Relevant	Consistent	Reason
4.1	Acid Sulfate Soils	No	N/A	Land is unaffected by acid sulfate soils,
4.2	Mine Subsidence and Unstable Land	No	N/A	Land is unaffected by mine subsidence.
4.3	Flood Prone Land	Yes	Yes	Relevant provisions are included within Inverell Local Environmental Plan 2012. The Proposal will not increase the opportunity for development on the flood plain.
4.4	Planning for Bushfire Protection	Yes	Yes	The Proposal may facilitate development on bushfire prone lands. The requirements of Planning for Bushfire Protection would apply to any development applications.

### 5. Regional Planning

No.	Direction	Relevant	Consistent	Reason
5.1	Implementation of Regional Strategies	No	N/A	No regional strategy applies.
5.2	Sydney Drinking Water Catchments	No	N/A	Land is not within a water catchment.
5.3	Farmland of State and Regional Significance on the NSW Far North Coast	No	N/A	Land is not on the NSW Far North Coast.
5.4	Commercial and Retail Development along the Pacific Highway, North Coast	No	N/A	Land is not on the NSW Far North Coast.
5.8	Second Sydney Airport: Badgerys Creek	No	N/A	Land is not within the relevant area.

1	5.9	North West Rail	No	N/A	Land is not within the relevant area.
1		Link Corridor			
1		Strategy			
1					

#### 6. Local Plan Making

No.	Direction	Relevant	Consistent	Reason
6.1	Approval and Referral Requirements	Yes	Yes	No additional concurrence, consultation or referral procedures are included
6.2	Reserving Land for Public Purposes	Yes	Yes	This Proposal does not create, alter or reduce existing zonings or reservations of land for public purposes
6.3	Site Specific Provisions	Yes	Yes	The Proposal does not change any zones or introduce additional site specific provisions.

### 7. Metropolitan Planning

No.	Direction	Relevant	Consistent	Reason
7.1	Implementation of the Metropolitan		N/A	Land is not within the Metropolitan area
	Strategy			

### Section C. Environmental, Social and Economic Impact

Is there any likelihood that critical habitat or threatened species, populations or ecological communities, or their habitats, will be adversely affected as a result of the Proposal?

No additional adverse effects are anticipated, subject to normal merit assessment of development.

Are there any other likely environmental effects as a result of the planning Proposal and how are they proposed to be managed?

No significant environmental effects are anticipated.

How has the planning Proposal adequately addressed any social and economic effects?

No significant social or economic effects are anticipated.

Is there adequate public infrastructure for the planning Proposal?

There are no additional infrastructure implications from the Proposal.

What are the views of State and Commonwealth Public Authorities consulted in accordance with the gateway determination?

No specific consultations have been undertaken. Should the Gateway Determination identify any additional consultations these would be undertaken.

### PART 4 - MAPPING

The proposed amendment relates only to the written component of the *Inverell Local Environmental Plan 2012*, as such, no mapping is proposed as part of the amendment.

### PART 5 - COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

Council has not undertaken any community consultation concerning this planning Proposal. It is anticipated that this will occur as part of the formal exhibition of the planning Proposal or as directed through the gateway determination process.

The planning Proposal is considered to be low impact and a 14 day exhibition period is proposed for the planning Proposal, which includes:

- 1 notification of exhibition in the local newspaper;
- . A display at Council's Administration Centre; and
- Exhibition on Council's website.

### PART 6 - PROJECT TIMELINE

Task	Anticipated timeframe
Date of Gateway Determination	December 2014
Completion of required technical information, studies	No further studies expected.
Government agency consultation (pre exhibition as required by Gateway Determination)	December 2014 - January 2015
Any changes made to Planning Proposal resulting from technical studies and government agency consultations. Resubmit altered Planning Proposal to Gateway panel. Revised Gateway determination issued, if required.	Not Applicable.
Commencement and completion dates for public exhibition.	December 2014 - January 2015
Consideration of submissions, report Planning Proposal post exhibition	February 2015
Council requests a draft instrument be prepared by parliamentary counsel	February 2015
Council resolves to adopt and make draft LEP	March 2015
Formal notification of the plan	March 2015

### CONCLUSION

The Planning Proposal seeks to amend the Land Use Tables of the RU1 Primary Production and R5 Large Lot Residential zones in the *Inverell Local Environmental Plan 2012* to provide flexibility for additional dwellings in the local government area. The Planning Proposal is considered to be consistent with relevant statutory and policy provisions.

## **ATTACHMENT 1 - INFORMATION CHECKLIST**

<ul> <li>Objectives and intended outcome</li> <li>Mapping (including current and proposition)</li> <li>Community consultation (agencies to</li> </ul>		Explanation of provisions     Justification and process for implementation (including compliance assessment against relevant section 117 direction/s)					
STEP 2: MATTERS - CONS (Depending on complex			N A CASE BY CASE BASIS ng proposal and nature of issues)				
PLANNING MATTERS OR ISSUES	To be considered	N/A	PLANNING MATTERS OR ISSUES	To be considered	N/A		
Strategic Planning Context			Resources (including drinking water, minerals, oysters, agricultural lands,		1		
Demonstrated consistency with relevant Regional Strategy			fisheries, mining)				
Demonstrated consistency with			Sea level rise	LJ	الما		
relevant Sub-Regional strategy			Urban Design Considerations				
<ul> <li>Demonstrated consistency with or support for the outcomes and actions of relevant DG endorsed</li> </ul>			Existing site plan (buildings vegetation, roads, etc)				
<ul> <li>local strategy</li> <li>Demonstrated consistency with Threshold Sustainability Criteria</li> </ul>			<ul> <li>Building mass/block diagram study (changes in building height and FSR)</li> </ul>		4		
	1		Lighting impact	$\Box$	1		
Site Description/Context			Development yield analysis     (potential yield of lots, houses,	$\Box$	V		
Aerial photographs			employment generation)		1.00		
Site photos/photomontage		Economic Considerations					
Traffic and Transport Considerations			Economic impact assessment		F		
Local traffic and transport			Retail centres hierarchy		17		
• TMAP			Employment land		3		
Public transport			Social and Cultural Considerations	1 0200			
Cycle and pedestrian movement		-			г		
Environmental Considerations			Heritage impact     Aboriginal archaeology				
Bushfire hazard	V		Open space management				
Acid Sulphate Soil			European archaeology				
Noise impact		4	Social & cultural impacts		ч		
Flora and/or fauna			Stakeholder engagement		V		
Soil stability, erosion, sediment, landslip assessment, and subsidence	ty, erosion, sediment,						
Water quality			Infrastructure servicing and potential	ET.	1,1		
- Starmuster management		1	funding arrangements	-	-		

• Stormwater management

· Land/site contamination (SEPP55)

Flooding

Miscellaneous/Additional Considerations

List any additional studies

## Attachment 4 - Evaluation criteria for the delegation of plan making functions

Checklist for the functions to co		for delegation of plan n	naking
Local Government	Area:		
Inere)'			
Name of draft LEP:			
Planning Inverell	Proposal - Ame	endment No.2	-
Address of Land (if	applicable):		
Intent of draft LEP:		y (detached)' per the RUI Prima Lot Residential	missible
with cons	ent within 1	the RUI Prima	4
Production	and RS large	Lot Residential	zones.
Additional Support	ing Points/Information:		
M14			



IOTE – where the matter is identified as relevant and the	Council	response	Department assessment	
equirement has not been met, council is attach information o explain why the matter has not been addressed)		Not relevant	Agree	Not agree
s the planning proposal consistent with the Standard nstrument Order, 2006?	Yes			
Does the planning proposal contain an adequate explanation of the intent, objectives, and intended outcome of the proposed amendment?	Yes			
Are appropriate maps included to identify the location of the ite and the intent of the amendment?		/		
Does the planning proposal contain details related to proposed consultation?	Yes			
s the planning proposal compatible with an endorsed egional or sub-regional planning strategy or a local strategy endorsed by the Director-General?	Yes			
Does the planning proposal adequately address any consistency with all relevant S117 Planning Directions?	Yes			
s the planning proposal consistent with all relevant State Environmental Planning Policies (SEPPs)?	Yes			
Minor Mapping Error Amendments	Y/N			
Does the planning proposal seek to address a minor mapping error and contain all appropriate maps that clearly dentify the error and the manner in which the error will be addressed?		/		
Heritage LEPs	Y/N			
Does the planning proposal seek to add or remove a local neritage item and is it supported by a strategy/study endorsed by the Heritage Office?				
Does the planning proposal include another form of endorsement or support from the Heritage Office if there is no supporting strategy/study?				
Does the planning proposal potentially impact on an item of State Heritage Significance and if so, have the views of the Heritage Office been obtained?				
Reclassifications	Y/N		1 1	
s there an associated spot rezoning with the reclassification?		1		
If yes to the above, is the rezoning consistent with an endorsed Plan of Management (POM) or strategy?		V		
Is the planning proposal proposed to rectify an anomaly in a classification?		~		
Will the planning proposal be consistent with an adopted POM or other strategy related to the site?		/		
Will the draft LEP discharge any interests in public land under section 30 of the Local Government Act, 1993?		/		

### NOTES

- Where a council responds 'yes' or can demonstrate that the matter is 'not relevant', in most cases, the planning proposal will routinely be delegated to council to finalise as a matter of local planning significance.
- Endorsed strategy means a regional strategy, sub-regional strategy, or any other local strategic planning document that is endorsed by the Director-General of the department.